

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,949	12/15/2005	Xiangsheng S Meng	CGL03/0044US01	1686
38550 CARGILL, INC	7590 02/05/2007 CORPORATED	EXAMINER		
LAW/24			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
15407 MCGINTY ROAD WEST WAYZATA, MN 55391			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1621	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/560,949	MENG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sudhakar Katakam	1621	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some period by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUIR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. If a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal m		merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawing	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	, ,
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage
		•		
A44	W-1			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/05</u> .) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/560,949

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The examiner has considered applicant's Information Disclosure Statement of 12/15/2005. Please refer to the signed copies of the PTO-1449 forms attached herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Badische Anilin- & Soda-Frabrik AG (GB 1,167,793).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,949

Art Unit: 1621

Instant claims are drawn to a process for separating and recovering 3-hydroxypropionic acid from aqueous solution comprising 3-hydroxypropionic acid and acrylic acid, comprising counter current extracting the aqueous solution with ethyl acetate, to extract the acrylic acid from the aqueous solution into the organic phase, and the resulted organic phase is heated in presence of water to distill off the ethyl acetate, thereby forming an aqueous acrylic acid solution.

Badische Anilin- & Soda-Frabrik AG teach a process to separate acrylic acid from its impurities, viz., propionic acid and acetic acid, using variety of solvents including ethyl acetate [col.1, lines 65-74, col.2, lines 1-3 & Table 1]. The extraction of acrylic acid from vapors mixtures is also carried out in a conventional mixtures, e.g., by contacting the vapors with the solvent contercurrently [col.3, lines 6-9]. Separating acrylic acid form aqueous solutions containing 2 to 50% by weight of acrylic acid comprising extracting said solutions at a temperature of from 0° to 200°C and a pressure of from 10 mm Hg to 5 atmospheres gauge, distilling off the acrylic acid from the resultant solution of acrylic acid in said solvent and recycling the solvent obtained as bottoms product in the distillation to the extraction stage [col. 5, lines 89-101].

The difference between the instant invention and **Badische Anilin- & Soda- Frabrik AG** is that in the instant invention claims separation of acrylic acid from 3hydroxypropionic acid and acrylic acid mixture, whereas the reference teaches the purification of acrylic acid from the impurities which consists of propionic acid.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,949

Art Unit: 1621

The propionic acid and 3-hydroxypropionic acid differs from each other by a –OH group. Acrylic acid and 3-hydroxypropionic acid have the known partition coefficients of 0.35 and –0.89 (given as logP octanol/water, as found in customary handbooks). Therefore, 3-hydroxypropionic acid is known to be about 17 times more water-soluble than acrylic acid. The skilled artisan facing the problem to separate both acids present in a single aqueous solution would contemplate extracting the less water soluble, i.e. acrylic acid with an organic solvent. The use of a low boiling extractant is obvious for reasons of ease of recovery of acrylic acid, either as solid or as an aqueous solution.

Please note the separation of organic acids from less water-soluble compounds is obvious in the art. The removal of the organic solvent by distillation for displacing the compound to be extracted into water is a routine measure for the skilled artisan, especially when the said compound has a non-negligible solubility in the said organic solvent.

In view of explicit teachings of **Badische Anilin- & Soda-Frabrik AG** and from the known separation methods in the art based on the compound physical properties, the examiner purports that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention was made, to have modified the reference teachings in separation of 3-hydroxypropionic acid and acrylic acid, with a reasonable expectation of success.

Modifying such methodology is a prima facie obvious because an ordinary artisan would be motivated to use known purification methods to make the process

Application/Control Number: 10/560,949

Art Unit: 1621

more efficient or explore economical advantages over the other, since it is within the scope to optimize the conditions through routine experimentation.

Conclusion

- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S. Katakam

ELVIS O. PRICE, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER ELVI PRIM. E, PHOL